

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,873	11/01/2002	Anand Ranganathan	SHW-009US	4622
959	7590 01/03/2006		EXAMINER	
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP. 28 STATE STREET			LU, FRANK WEI MIN	
BOSTON, M			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1634	

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/009,873	RANGANATHAN, ANAND			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Frank W. Lu	1634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from h, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 O	<u>ctober 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ▼ This	action is non-final.				
.—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-20 and 22-48 is/a 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	re withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 November 2002</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) accepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/01 and 2/02.		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/009,873 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claim 1-21 and species (1) (claim 17) in the reply filed on October 12, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "The inventions of Groups I-V all relate to means for assembling DNA units in sequence. As such the searches with regard to these inventions would be co-extensive and would not involve a serious burden on the Examiner".

The above argument has been fully considered and has not been found persuasive toward the withdrawal of the restriction requirement nor persuasive toward the relaxation of same such that Groups I to V will be examined together. First, as shown in previous office action, the restriction is not based on the search burden on the Examiner but is dependent on that Groups I to V lack the same or corresponding special technical features. Second, applicant does not argue why Groups I to V do not lack the same or corresponding special technical features. Therefore, the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL and claims 1-17 and 21 will be examined.

Sequence Rules Compliance

2. This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). For example, see pages 26-28 and 40 of the specification. However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth on the attached

Art Unit: 1634

Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures.

Direct the reply to the undersigned. Applicant is requested to submit a statement to PTO that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and no new matter is added and return a copy of the attached Notice to Comply with the reply.

Specification

- 3. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: there are four nucleotide sequences with more ten nucleotides in Figures 6. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS in the specification does not describe these nucleotide sequences and these nucleotide sequences do not have SEQ ID Nos.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 5. Claims 4 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities: (1) "an Xba1" should be "a XbaI"; and (2) "Xba1" should be "XbaI".
- 6. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informality: "claims 1 to 4" should be "any one of claims 1 to 4".
- 7. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informality: "io" in step a) should be deleted.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,873 Page 4

Art Unit: 1634

8. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informality" "in to" in line 2 should be "into".

9. Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informality: "polyketide synthesising enzyme domain DNA sequences" should be "DNA sequences of polyketide synthesizing enzyme domain".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 10. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 11. Claims 1-17 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 12. Claim 1 is rejected as vague and indefinite in view of step a) of the claim because it is unclear what is relationship between the restriction site on the 3' end of each DNA unit and a recognition site for a DNA modification enzyme. Does the restriction site on the 3' end of each DNA unit and a recognition site for a DNA modification enzyme share some nucleotide sequences? Please clarify.
- 13. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the desired DNA unit" in step c) of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim because steps a) and b) only have DNA unit and have no desired DNA unit. Please clarify.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,873

Art Unit: 1634

- 14. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the ligated product" in step c) of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim because steps a) and b) have no ligated product. Please clarify.
- 15. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the inserted DNA unit" in step c) of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim because steps a) and b) have no inserted DNA unit. Please clarify.
- 16. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the dam methylase" in the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim because there is no dam methylase in claims 1 and 2. Please clarify.
- 17. Claim 4 recites the limitation "the desired DNA unit" in step c) of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim because steps a) and b) only have DNA unit and have no desired DNA unit. Please clarify.
- 18. Claim 4 is rejected as vague and indefinite in view of step d) of the claim because it is unclear how to recovering a resulting plasmid since step b) does not limit a starting DNA construct as a plasmid. Please clarify.
- 19. Claim 6 recites the limitation "the fragment" in the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim because there is no word "fragment" in claims 1-4. Please clarify.
- 20. Claim 8 is rejected as vague and indefinite because it is unclear how to replicate the ligated product in a dam- strain of E. coli by means of a suitable vector. Please clarify.

- 21. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected as vague and indefinite because it is unclear that "a desired other DNA unit" in step c) or "a desired DNA unit" in step d) are identical to one of other DNA units in step b) or not. Please clarify.
- 22. Claim 13 is rejected as vague and indefinite because it is unclear that the solid phase is spilt and mixed with what. Please clarify.
- 23. Claim 15 is rejected as vague and indefinite because it is unclear what means "a host capable of expressing the protein encoded by the vector". Does this phrase means a host capable of expressing the protein encoded by the DNA units? Please clarify.

Conclusion

- 24. No claim is allowed.
- 25. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CAR § 1.6(d)). The CM Fax Center number is (571)273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Lu, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571)272-0746. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (571)272-0745.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,873 Page 7

Art Unit: 1634

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Frank Lu

Primary Examiner December 27, 2005

Take we

Application No.: 10/009,873

NOTICE TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENT APPLICATIONS CONTAINING NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE DISCLOSURES

Applicant must file the items indicated below within the time period set the Office action to which the Notice is attached to avoid abandonment under 35 U.S.C. § 133 (extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a)).

The nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosure contained in this application does not comply with the requirements for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.821 - 1.825 for the following reason(s):

X	1. This application clearly fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821-1.825. Applicant's attention is directed to the final rulemaking notice published at 55 FR 18230 (May 1, 1990), and 1114 OG 29 (May 15, 1990). If the effective filing date is on or after July 1, 1998, see the final rulemaking notice published at 63 FR 29620 (June 1, 1998) and 1211 OG 82 (June 23, 1998).
X	2. This application does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c).
X	3. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has not been submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
	4. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has been submitted. However, the content of the computer readable form does not comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.822 and/or 1.823, as indicated on the attached copy of the marked -up "Raw Sequence Listing."
	5. The computer readable form that has been filed with this application has been found to be damaged and/or unreadable as indicated on the attached CRF Diskette Problem Report. A Substitute computer readable form must be submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.825(d).
	6. The paper copy of the "Sequence Listing" is not the same as the computer readable from of the "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
П	7. Other:
Ш	
Δn	plicant Must Provide:
X	An initial or substitute computer readable form (CRF) copy of the "Sequence Listing".
X	An initial or substitute paper copy of the "Sequence Listing", as well as an amendment directing its entry into the specification.
X	A statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d).
For	questions regarding compliance to these requirements, please contact:
For	Rules Interpretation, call (703) 308-4216 CRF Submission Help, call (703) 308-4212 entIn Software Program Support Technical Assistance
	To Purchase PatentIn Software703-306-2600